been decided that the present practice should remain undisturbed.

SUBJECT No. 184.

Grant of a share of the Sandal tree revenue to the holders of lands on which such trees stand.

Mr. Balavanta Rao, Arsikere Taluk.
Mr. Yagaiyana Subba Rao, Sagar Taluk.
Mr. Linge Gowda of the Mysore Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—In reply to the representation made last year for allowing, as a sort of encouragement to the occupants of lands for planting and conserving Sandal trees thereon, a share in the revenues derived from such trees, Government were pleased to reply that the idea was not to create any such interest. While it is so, if it be the intention of Government to insist upon the responsibility of the occupants for the preservation of Sandal trees in their holdings, there is no help for the poor raiyats. It is solicited that the question may be duly considered and final orders passed as promised.

Reply of Government.—*Vide* Subject No. 183.

SUBJECT No. 185.

Khatedars not to be made responsible for the destruction of or injury to the Sandal trees on their holdings.

Mr. Range Gowda, Arsikere Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Khatedars may be relieved of the responsibility of the preservation of Sandal trees growing on their lands. It is not possible for holders of Hulgaval lands to frequently inspect them nor is it proper to expect occupants of lands to account for the injury done to trees by others during their absence. Persons actually injuring the trees may be detected and punished, the value of the trees being also recovered from them.

Reply of Government.—*Vide* Subject No. 183.

SUBJECT No. 186.

Recovery of the value of damaged Sandal trees.

Mr. Linge Gowda, Patel and Landholder, Mysore Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The value of Sandal trees standing in the holdings of raiyats, which are stunted in growth or damaged by insects and worms, is recovered from the holders thereof by the Forest Department through the Revenue Department after getting up a Mahazar to the effect that such trees have been cut down by the holder. Instances of such recovery are many in the Mysore District. It is requested therefore that a detailed list of Sandal trees standing in the holdings of raiyats be ordered to be made out by the Forest Department, the village shanbhog and the patel, and that one copy be retained with the shanbhog and the other with the Forest Department, and the value of such damaged trees be ordered to be recovered from the holder of the land in case he fails to show the cause for such damage.

Reply of Government.—There is a direction already to mention the number of trees in the Pahani. More cannot be done. The Deputy Commissioners will enforce the rule.

SUBJECT No. 187.

Removal of certain trees from the list of reserved trees.

(1) Messrs. Sahukar Nagappa and Manjaiya Uduparu, Nagar Taluk.
(2) Mr. Kigga Chennakesava Rao of Koppa Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—(1) In the Malnad, Bogi logs are generally used for house-building purposes by the raiyats. As these are classified under reserved trees, it is a great hardship to them. It is therefore requested that this wood may be allowed to be given according to Raiyats' Privilege Rules.

(2) Kiralbogi may be excluded from the list of reserved trees as it is specially suited for ploughs in the Malnad districts.

Reply of Government.—The matter is under consideration.

SUBJECT No. 188.

Amildars to be authorized to fix a reasonable amount for both forest and village hulbanni.

Mr. K. Nanjappa, Taluk Member, Holalkere.

Summary of discussion.—The present practice of fixing and levying forest hulbanni, separately from village hulbanni, works hard upon the raiyats. The Amildars may therefore be authorized to fix a reasonable sum for both kinds of hulbanni.

Reply of Government.—This cannot be done. The Conservator of Forests will be asked to report whether there is any practice of fixing a lump sum in connection with State Forests.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL BOARDS.

SUBJECT No. 189.

Appointment of Amildars as Members of District Boards.

Messrs. Saiyad Sab of Kankanhalli Taluk; Sahukar Manjaiya Uduparu and Nagappa of Nagar Taluk

Summary of discussion.—The Amildar's knowledge of every village in the taluk made him a useful member of the District Board. Under the present constitution he is no longer a member. In the interests of the taluk he may be re-appointed as a member.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

SUBJECT No. 190.

Appointment of employees in the Education Department as Local Board Members.

Mr. Varahaswami Iyengar, Sri Yadugiri Sadanandavardhini Sabha, Melkote.

Summary of discussion.—As the Professors, Masters and Pandits teach morality and charity (dharma) to young men, they should be elected as members of the Representative Assemblies, District and Taluk Boards. At present the employees in the Education Department of this State are not treated with becoming respect. Therefore it is prayed that this drawback may be set right.

Reply of Government.—This is not necessary.

SUBJECT No. 191.

Election of Representative Assembly Members by Taluk Boards.

Mr. Sivabasavadevaru, Land-holder, T.-Narsipur.

Mr. Yale Chennabasappa, Hiriyur Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Municipalities containing a population of 5,000 inhabitants are eligible to elect Representative Members. Minor Municipalities cannot elect such Members as per revised Rules. Since Taluk Boards have been established, these may now be empowered to elect Representative Members, from among themselves.

Reply of Government.—The existing Rules do not require any alteration.

SUBJECT No. 192.

Appointment of honorary village members in the interests of raiyats.

Mr. Lakshmi Narasimhachar, Chamarajnagar.

Summary of discussion.—In all villages the shanbhog being a powerful person, very often uses his influence in tyrannizing over a large number of raiyats who are mostly ignorant. These shanbhogs very often conceal the former orders of Government and get new orders passed against those raiyats whom they do not like. In the interest of